Moreover, it is not seen how an electrical connector can amount to the claimed alignment element. The electrical connector's details are nowhere explained. It is not seen how the Examiner can support an argument that the claimed element is suggested by an electrical connector.

It is quite clear that the rationale to combine must come from within the prior art. *See, MPEP 2143.01*. Since the rationale to combine cannot come from the single cited reference, essentially by concession, there is not a *prima facie* obviousness rejection here. Therefore, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 1/23/02

Timothy N./Trop, Reg. No. 28,994

TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C. 8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100

Houston, TX 77024 713/468-8880 [Phone] 713/468-8883 [Fax]